Publication Ethics

Animal Research International is bound to upholding the highest standards and best practice of publication ethics and takes all necessary measures against publication malpractice. Animal Research International publication ethics is based, in large part, on the guidelines and standards developed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Authors who submit papers to Animal Research International must attest in writing that their article is original and unpublished, and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. In addition, the corresponding author must attest that the article is a product of their own research; that it has not been plagiarized or copied, in whole or in part, from any other published works; and that they have disclosed actual or potential conflicts of interest with their work or partial benefits associated with it.

Animal Research International is also bound to upholding objective, fair and fast double-blind peer review of submitted manuscripts. Animal Research International is also committed to preventing any (actual or potential) conflicts of interest between authors, editors and reviewers. Problems that arise in relation to any of the above are addressed by the Editor, who is committed to providing quick and unbiased resolutions to disputes.

DUTIES OF EDITORS

- **Decision on the Publication of Articles:** The Editor of Animal Research International (Anim. Res. Inter.) is responsible for deciding which of the revised articles should be published. The Editor must at all time be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and subjected to such legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The Editor must at all times seek the opinion of other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

- **Review of Manuscripts:** The Editor must ensure that each manuscript is initially evaluated by the editor, who may make use of appropriate software to examine the originality of the contents of the manuscript (plagiarism check) and after passing this test, manuscript is forwarded without the details of the author(s) to two referees for blind peer review, and each of whom will make a recommendation to publish the manuscript in its present form or to modify or to reject the same. The review period will be from two weeks to one month (maximum two months in extra ordinary circumstances). Before forwarding the manuscript to the reviewer the Editor must have requested the reviewer willingness to review the manuscript through an email with the abstract attached.

- **Disclosure and conflicts of interest:** Unpublished materials in a submitted manuscript must not be disclosed, used, plagiarized or copied by anyone who has a
view of the manuscript in his or her own research without the express written permission of the author.

- **Fair play:** Manuscripts shall be evaluated solely on their relevant contributions to knowledge and intellectual merit without prejudice to authors’ age, race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnicity, citizenship, previous publication/association with the journal, or political philosophy.

- **Confidentiality:** The Editor and members of the Editorial crew must not disclose any information about submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers (potential and actual reviewers), members of editorial advisory committee and the publisher.

**DUTIES OF REVIEWERS**

- **Promptness:** In case, any reviewer can not complete review of manuscript within stipulated time frame due to earlier commitment, then the same must be communicated to the editor, so that the article could be sent to any other reviewer.

- **Confidentiality:** Information regarding submitted manuscript should be kept confidential and be treated as privileged information.

- **Standards of Objectivity:** Reviews should be conducted promptly and objectively. There should be no personal criticism of the author. Reviewers should express their views politely and clearly with supporting arguments and documents were possible. The use of offensive language should be avoided.

- **Acknowledgement of Sources:** It is very important that Reviewers identify relevant published work(s) that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that had been previously reported elsewhere should be accompanied by the relevant citation. Reviewer should inform the Editor of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

- **Disclosure and Conflict of Interest:** Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage in his or her own research without the express written permission of the author. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have interest in as a resulting of their association with the author(s) through mentoring, collaborations or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, or with companies or institutions connected to the author(s).

**DUTIES OF AUTHORS**

- **Reporting standards:** Authors of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. A manuscript should contain sufficient and detailed information and all cited references to permit others researchers to replicate the study. Plagiarism, fraudulent listing of cited works, inaccurate statements and misrepresentation of known facts constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.
• **Data Access and Retention:** Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with the manuscript for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such, if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

• **Originality and Plagiarism:** Authors should ensure that the article is entirely their original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of other researchers this must be appropriately cited or quoted.

• **Multiple Publications:** An author should not publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript or part of a manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

• **Acknowledgement of Sources:** Proper acknowledgment of the work of other researchers must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the scope and nature of the reported study. Where the research was funded, such grant holder (institutional/non institutional) must acknowledge the source of funding.

• **Authorship of the Manuscript:** Authorship should be limited to those who have made significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, data analysis, data interpretation and write-up of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. In this regards Animal Research International requires submission of author’s contributions stating each author’s involvement in the study. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

• **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest:** All authors should disclose in the manuscript any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that may militate against publication of their manuscript or influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed and acknowledge.

• **Fundamental Errors in Published Works:** When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published article, it is the author’s obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the manuscript. To stop the occurrence of errors in published work, authors are expected to carefully and painstaking read, correct and approve the galley proof for publication.
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